

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

4

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

 APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NA	MED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
 10/707,311	12/04/2003		Е	d Stengel	-	16155-US	1310	
		10/15/2007				EXAMINER TRINH, THANH TRUC		
MARKS & CLERK P.O. BOX 957					•			
STATION B OTTAWA, ON	1 K 1 D 5 S 7				ſ	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA	KIF 357				•	1795		
					*		PER MEDITAGE	
						MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
						10/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/707,311	STENGEL, ED			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Thanh-Truc Trinh	1795			

	Thanh-Truc Trinh	1795							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 01 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
I. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orige than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as						
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since						
AMENDMENTS									
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further cot (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belot) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			,						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		II be entered and an e	explanation of						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	rit or other evidence is	s necessary and						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 		n condition for allowa	nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
13.									

Application No. 10/707,311

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: As amended in claim 14, the new limitation "wherein the inclined solar electricity-producing panel is angled upwardly at an angle of about 45 degrees" raises new issue.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Sooferian does not teach the recitations of power supply in the form of a natural-looking garden element that generates power via a solar panel and outputs the power through a DC outlet . As seen in col. 2 lines 57-63, Sooferian teaches the stepping stone made of concrete, stone peples, therefore the stepping stone of Sooferian is in the form of natural-looking garden. Sooferian also describes a DC outlet (line 108 and 110) from one stepping stone is used to power another stepping stone as seen in Figure 9 and col. 8 lines 13-19. Therefore, the reference to Sooferian does teach "outputs through a DC outlet". Applicant further argues the references do not teach an inclined solar panel angled at 45 degrees and the combination of Hilton et al. and Cirrito can not be used in an obvious rejection since Hilton et al. specifically and clearly teaches away from the recitation of angling the solar panel. As seen in Figures 16 and 17 of Hilton et al., the solar panel 20 is curved as disposed on the surface of container 40 and part of solar panel 20 is angled, therefore Hilton et al. do not teach away the recitation of angling the solar panel and the combination of Hilton et al. and Cirrito in an obvious rejection is proper.

NAM' N

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 17:00